AGENDA
PIQUA CITY COMMISSION
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 2, 2009
7:30 P.M.
201 WEST WATER STREET
PIQUA, OHIO 45356

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

REGULAR CITY COMMISSION MEETING

1. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
   Approval of the minutes from the December 17, 2008
   Piqua City Commission Work Session and the
   January 20, 2009 Regular Piqua City Commission
   Meeting

2. RES. NO. R-15-09
   A Resolution awarding contracts for the purchase of
   transformers for the Power System

3. RES. NO. R-16-09
   A Resolution awarding a contract to Pohlkat
   Incorporated in the amount not to exceed $100,000
   for removal and disposal of lime residual from the
   lime lagoon at the Water Plant

4. RES. NO. R-17-09
   A Resolution to allow only the City of Piqua to aggregate
   demand response of retail customers in accordance
   with FERC Order 719 and taking other actions in
   connection therewith

5. RES. NO. R-18-09
   A Resolution authorizing the renaming of the Fort Piqua
   Plaza to the “Piqua Plaza”

6. RES. NO. R-19-09
   A Resolution requesting authorization to issue a purchase
   order to Rawdon Myers, Inc. for: SCADA (Supervisory
   Control and Data Acquisition) System Hardware Upgrade,
   Programming and Integration at the Wastewater Plant

OTHER
   ➢ Monthly Reports- December 2008

EXECUTIVE SESSION
Move into Executive Session to prepare for and review negotiations on compensation or other terms
and conditions of employment for City personnel.

ADJOURN
Piqua City Commission met in a Special Work Session in the Commission Chambers in the Municipal Government Complex, 201 W. Water Street for a work session. Mayor Hudson called the meeting to order at 5:00 P.M. Also present were Commissioners Martin, Terry, and Vogt. Absent: Fess. Also in attendance: City Manager Fred Enderle, City Engineer Amy Havenar, Sherry Heath, Jeff Marconette, Chuck Starrett,

Purpose of the Special Meeting is to discuss East Ash Street Improvement Project

City Manager Enderle explained the need for the improvements.

Amy Havenar, City Engineer, explained the city is in the planning stage of widening Ash Street, from Spring Street east to the National Guard Armory. This work is scheduled to begin in 2011.

There was discussion on the need for a barrier between proposed additional lanes of traffic and the problems that may arise with the residents parking on the north side of East Ash Street.

City Manager Enderle stated Federal and State funds are available to cover eighty percent of the project, but the state still needs to be satisfied with the final reports. There are federal guidelines for safety and from the standpoint of traffic projection guidelines that need to be followed. There are also some environmental impact studies that could limit our possibilities, said Mr. Enderle.

There were questions raised about weaving or curving of the new sidewalk along East Ash Street, and talk about a boulevard being placed on the street, having no left turns for residents, and the parking situation for residents that live along East Ash Street.

Ms. Havenar stated we have to present two or three options to the state for proposals for that location, and one of these options has to be if we do nothing at all. Ms. Havenar further stated if a proposal went through there would not be a fee for curb and gutter replacement.

Chuck Starrett, property owner on East Ash Street stated we are at a point where we don’t know if we can sell or rent or even put a new roof on a home because we do not know what the city is going to do in that area. Mr. Enderle said, that the city feels the same way but reiterated that there is not much the city can do until the state makes a decision. State funding for expanding roadway can not be included in any acquisition of homes purchased to widen the streets, however the city can purchase homes as a beautification project, said Mr. Enderle.

Ms. Havenar stated it is important that the city not make any home purchases or alterations to the area in any way that would indicate to the state that the city was trying to influence any type of predetermined plan without the residents input, needs and concerns being addressed.

Another meeting is planned with city official and representatives from the state in late January, and residents and property owners are encouraged to attend to voice their concerns.

Moved by Commissioner Vogt, seconded by Commissioner Martin, to adjourn from the Piqua City Commission Work Session at 5:55 P.M. Voice vote, Aye: Martin, Terry, Hudson and Vogt. Motion carried unanimously.

______________________________
THOMAS D. HUDSON, MAYOR

______________________________
PASSED: ______________________

______________________________
ATTEST: _______________________
REBECCA J. COOL
CLERK OF COMMISSION
Piqua City Commission met at 7:30 P.M. in the Municipal Government Complex Commission Chambers located at 201 W. Water Street. Mayor Hudson called the meeting to order. Also present were Commissioners Martin, Vogt, Fess, and Terry. Absent: None.

REGULAR CITY COMMISSION MEETING

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Moved by Commissioner Vogt, seconded by Commissioner Terry, that the minutes of the January 5, 2009 Regular City Commission Meeting be approved. Voice vote, Aye: Terry, Martin, Fess, Vogt, and Hudson. Nay: None. Motion carried unanimously.

RES. No. R-7-9

A Resolution authorizing a purchase order to Miami Valley Risk Management Association for purchase of insurance

Public Comment

No one came forward to speak for or against Resolution No. 7-09.

Moved by Commissioner Vogt, seconded by Commissioner Martin, that Resolution No. R-7-09 be adopted. Roll call, Aye: Terry, Hudson Fess, Vogt, and Martin. Nay, None. Motion carried unanimously. Mayor Hudson then declared Resolution No. R-7-09 adopted.

RES. No. R-8-09

A Resolution authorizing the City Manager to contract with the Miami County Public Defender Commissioner

Public Comment

No one came forward to speak for or against Resolution No. 8-09.


RES. No. R-9-09

A Resolution of Appreciation for the public service of Gary Connell as a City Employee

Mayor Hudson read the proclamation and presented it to Mr. Connell.

Public Comment

No one came forward to speak for or against Resolution No. R-9-09.

Commissioner Fess stated she has had the privilege to work with Fire Chief Connell outside her Commission duties on several occasions, and he has always been very helpful and is well respected in his field.

**RES. No. R-10-09**

A Resolution of Appreciation for the public service of Toni Francis as a City Employee

Mayor Hudson read the proclamation and presented it to Toni Francis.

Public Comment

No one came forward to speak for or against Resolution No. R-10-09.


**RES. No. R-11-09**

A Resolution of Appreciation for the public service of Mary King as a City Employee

Public Comment

No one came forward to speak for or against Resolution No. R-11-09.


**RES. No. R-12-09**

A Resolution of Appreciation for the public service of James Montgomery as a City Employee

Public Comment

No one came forward to speak for or against Resolution No. R-12-09.

Moved by Commissioner Fess, seconded by Commissioner Terry, that Resolution No. R-12-09 be adopted. Voice vote, Aye: Fess, Terry, Hudson, Martin, and Vogt. Nay, None. Motion carried unanimously. Mayor Hudson then declared Resolution No. R-12-09 adopted.

**RES. NO. R-13-09**

A Resolution reappointing a member and an alternate member and appointing an additional member to the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Public Comment

No one came forward to speak for or against Resolution No. R-13-09.

**RES. No. R-14-09**

A Resolution appointing a member to the Board of Zoning Appeals as the Planning Commission Representative

**Public Comment**

No one came forward to speak for or against Resolution No. R-14-09.

Moved by Commissioner Terry, seconded by Commissioner Fess, that Resolution No. R-14-09 be adopted. Voice vote, Aye: Vogt, Martin, Fess, Terry, and Hudson. Nay, None. Motion carried unanimously. Mayor Hudson then declared Resolution No. R-14-09 adopted.

**PUBLIC COMMENT**

Roy Howard, Spiker Road, inquired if it would be possible to get a discount on the water usage bill if someone left their water run to keep the pipes from freezing? City Manager Enderle explained that the amount used by leaving the water run would be insignificant, and would not increase the bill.

Brad Boehringer, Mound Street, asked several questions concerning the Bike Path expenditures in 2008. City Manager Enderle stated how the expenditures were appropriated.

Ruth Koon, Park Avenue, stated she would like to petition the City Commission to change the name of the Fort Piqua Plaza to Piqua Plaza. The Library Legacy Alliance committee has unanimously approved the name change. The City Commission was polled and all were in favor of the name change. City Manager Enderle stated there would be legislation on the next agenda to make the change official. Mrs. Koon also stated the Library Legacy Alliance Committee is still accepting donations for the continuing maintenance and upkeep on the building in the future. Mrs. Koon stated the Focus Newsletter was dedicated entirely to the Fort Piqua Plaza and thanked the editors.

Commissioner Vogt stated he had watched the Inauguration and said he feels good about the new President and the way the process was done, and feels this is a good start to the new year. Commissioner Vogt congratulated all of the retirees stating they will be missed and wished them well in their retirement.

Commissioner Martin congratulated all of the retirees and wished them a fruitful and good retirement.

Commissioner Fess congratulated all of the retirees and wished them well in their retirements. Commissioner Fess said she was excited about the Inauguration and stated she is proud to be an American, and is glad to see people coming together and hope it will filter down to the communities.

Commissioner Terry congratulated the retirees and wished them all well in their retirements.

Mayor Hudson asked if someone could give a brief update on the restaurant Toone P. Wiggins that is scheduled to open in the Fort Piqua Plaza soon. City Manager Enderle gave a brief overview of the status of the opening of restaurant.

Mayor Hudson inquired as to the status of the plans for a Skate Park in Piqua.

Commissioner Fess gave a brief overview of the meetings that have been held previously on the plans for the Skate Park.

Mayor Hudson stated he would like to see the Fort Piqua Plaza on the cover of the Ohio Magazine, and plans to work on getting that accomplished.
Moved by Commissioner Vogt, seconded by Commissioner Martin, to adjourn from the Piqua City Commission Meeting at 8:10 P.M. Voice vote, Aye: Hudson, Fess, Vogt, Terry, and Martin. Nay: None. Motion carried unanimously.

THOMAS D. HUDSON, MAYOR

PASSED: _______________________

ATTEST: _______________________

REBECCA J. COOL
CLERK OF COMMISSION
RESOLUTION NO. R-15-09

A RESOLUTION AWARDED CONTRACTS
FOR THE PURCHASE OF TRANSFORMERS
FOR THE POWER SYSTEM

WHEREAS, the present operations of the City require the purchase of transformers for the Power System; and

WHEREAS, after proper advertisement, bids were opened resulting in the tabulation of bids as listed in Exhibit “A” attached hereto;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Commission of the City of Piqua, Miami County, Ohio, the majority of all members elected thereto concurring, that:

SEC. 1: Contract with Power Line Supply for the purchase of 38 transformers are hereby approved as the lowest, responsible bidders for said project and the City Manager is hereby authorized to execute a contract with said bidders pursuant to contract specifications;

SEC. 2: The Finance Director is hereby authorized to draw her warrants from time to time on the appropriate account of the city treasury in payment according to contract terms, not exceeding a total of $200,182.

SEC. 3: This Resolution shall take effect and be in force from and after the earliest period allowed by law.

THOMAS D. HUDSON, MAYOR

PASSED: ____________________________

ATTEST: ____________________________

REBECCA J. COOL
CLERK OF COMMISSION
MEMORANDUM

Date: January 12, 2009
To: Frederick E. Enderle, City Manager
From: Nicholas Berger, Electrical Engineer
Re: Transformer Purchases

Included in the 2009 Power System budget is $245,000 for distribution transformer purchases. Each year the Power System solicits bids to replenish inventory. Bids were received from four vendors for transformers of various sizes, voltages, and quantities on January 2nd, 2009.

As is our standard procedure, the bids were evaluated on a present value basis for the total cost to own and operate over a twenty five year expected life. This evaluation considers not only the initial cost but also the operational cost associated with the transformer core and winding losses.

The lowest and best bids were received from Power Line Supply and Ermco. Transformer bid result sheets are attached for these transformers. Power Line Supply was the successful bidder for 38 transformers totally $200,182. Ermco was the successful bidder for the remaining transformers. A purchase order has already been issued to Ermco for 26 transformers totaling $24,991.

The Power System requests authorization to purchase 38 transformers from Power Line Supply for a not to exceed price of $200,182. Shipment of these transformers is expected within 20 weeks of receipt of order.
# Piqua Power System

## Transformer Bid Results

**Date:** 1/5/2009  
**Evaluated By:** Nick Berger

### Specifications

- **Size:** 1000 KVA
- **Primary Voltage:**
  - 2400/4160 x 7620/13200
  - 7620/13200
- **Secondary Voltage:**
  - 120/240
  - 120/208
  - 277/480
  - 240/480
  - 2400/4160

### Transformer Type

- **Conventional**
- **Padmount**
- **Single Phase**
- **Three Phase**

### Quantity

- **2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Purchase Price</th>
<th>Evaluated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLS</td>
<td>Howard</td>
<td>$19,466.00</td>
<td>$53,124.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERMCO</td>
<td>ERMCO</td>
<td>$20,751.00</td>
<td>$55,526.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WESTCO</td>
<td>ABB</td>
<td>$16,873.00</td>
<td>$59,997.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownstown</td>
<td>GE</td>
<td>$16,455.00</td>
<td>$78,230.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lowest and Best Bid

- **Vendor:** PLS  
  - **Total Cost:** $38,932.00
# Piqua Power System
## Transformer Bid Results

**Date:** 1/5/2009  
**Evaluated By:** Nick Berger

**Size:** 100 KVA

**Primary Voltage:**
- 2400/4160 X 7620/13200
- 7620/13200

**Secondary Voltage:**
- 120/240
- 120/208
- 277/480
- 240/480
- 2400/4160

**Transformer Type:**
- Conventional
- Padmount
- Single Phase
- Three Phase

**Quantity:** 12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Purchase Price</th>
<th>Evaluated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLS</td>
<td>Howard</td>
<td>$2,875.50</td>
<td>$5,251.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERMCO</td>
<td>ERMCO</td>
<td>$3,414.00</td>
<td>$7,874.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WESTCO</td>
<td>ABB</td>
<td>$3,260.00</td>
<td>$8,608.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownstown</td>
<td>GE</td>
<td>$2,726.00</td>
<td>$8,892.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Lowest and Best Bid:*

**Vendor:** PLS  
**Total Cost:** $34,506.00
Piqua Power System
Transformer Bid Results

Date: 1/5/2009
Evaluating By: Nick Berger

Size: 167 KVA

Primary Voltage:
2400/4160 X 7620/13200
7620/13200

Secondary Voltage:
120/240 X
120/208
277/480
240/480
2400/4160

Transformer Type:
Conventional
Padmount X
Single Phase X
Three Phase

Quantity: 12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Purchase Price</th>
<th>Evaluated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLS</td>
<td>Howard</td>
<td>$4,126.00</td>
<td>$7,106.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WESTCO</td>
<td>ABB</td>
<td>$4,475.00</td>
<td>$12,022.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERMCO</td>
<td>ERMCO</td>
<td>$4,212.00</td>
<td>$12,316.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownstown</td>
<td>GE</td>
<td>$4,266.00</td>
<td>$13,085.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lowest and Best Bid:
Vendor: PLS
Total Cost: $49,512.00
### Piqua Power System
#### Transformer Bid Results

**Date:** 1/5/2009  
**Evaluated By:** Nick Berger

**Size:** 250 KVA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Voltage</th>
<th>Secondary Voltage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 2400/4160 X 7620/13200 | 120/240  
| 7620/13200 | 120/208  
|                | 277/480  |
|                | 240/480  |
|                | 2400/4160 |

**Transformer Type:**
- Conventional
- Padmount  
- Single Phase  
- Three Phase

**Quantity:** 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Purchase Price</th>
<th>Evaluated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLS</td>
<td>Howard</td>
<td>$5,230.00</td>
<td>$10,448.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WESTCO</td>
<td>ABB</td>
<td>$5,685.00</td>
<td>$16,446.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERMCO</td>
<td>ERMCO</td>
<td>$5,911.00</td>
<td>$16,722.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownstown</td>
<td>ERMCO</td>
<td>$6,316.00</td>
<td>$17,127.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lowest and Best Bid:**
- **Vendor:** PLS  
- **Total Cost:** $47,070.00
# Piqua Power System
## Transformer Bid Results

**Date:** 1/5/2009  
**Evaluated By:** Nick Berger

**Size:** 300 KVA  
**Primary Voltage:** 2400/4160 X 7620/13200  
**Secondary Voltage:**  
- 120/240  
- 120/208  
- 277/480  
- 240/480  
- 2400/4160

**Transformer Type:**  
- Conventional  
- Padmount  
- Single Phase  
- Three Phase

**Quantity:** 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Purchase Price</th>
<th>Evaluated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLS</td>
<td>Howard</td>
<td>$8,962.00</td>
<td>$16,811.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERMCO</td>
<td>ERMCO</td>
<td>$10,213.00</td>
<td>$23,512.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WESTCO</td>
<td>ABB</td>
<td>$8,521.00</td>
<td>$24,197.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownstown</td>
<td>GE</td>
<td>$8,921.00</td>
<td>$39,137.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lowest and Best Bid:**  
- **Vendor:** PLS  
- **Total Cost:** $17,924.00
# Piqua Power System
## Transformer Bid Results

**Date:** 1/5/2009  |  **Evaluated By:** Nick Berger

**Size:** 500 KVA

**Primary Voltage:**
- 2400/4160 X 7620/13200
- 7620/13200

**Secondary Voltage:**
- 120/240
- 120/208
- 277/480
- 240/480
- 2400/4160

**Transformer Type:**
- Conventional
- Padmount
- Single Phase
- Three Phase

**Quantity:** 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Purchase Price</th>
<th>Evaluated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLS</td>
<td>Howard</td>
<td>$12,238.00</td>
<td>$20,118.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERMCO</td>
<td>ERMCO</td>
<td>$13,887.00</td>
<td>$31,152.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WESTCO</td>
<td>ABB</td>
<td>$10,497.00</td>
<td>$32,253.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownstown</td>
<td>GE</td>
<td>$11,349.00</td>
<td>$47,979.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lowest and Best Bid:**

**Vendor:** PLS  |  **Total Cost:** $12,238.00
RESOLUTION NO. R-16-09

A RESOLUTION AWARDING A CONTRACT TO
POHLMAT INCORPORATED IN THE AMOUNT NOT
TO EXCEED $100,000 FOR REMOVAL AND DISPOSAL
OF LIME RESIDUAL FROM THE LIME LAGOON AT
THE WATER PLANT

WHEREAS, on January 7, 2008 this Commission passed Resolution No. R-6-08 authorizing the City Purchasing Agent to advertise for bids, according to law, for removal and disposal of lime residual from the lime lagoon at the Water Plant; and

WHEREAS, after proper advertisement, bids were opened, resulting in the tabulation of bids as listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Commission of the City of Piqua, Miami County, Ohio, the majority of all members elected thereto concurring, that:

SEC. 1: A contract for said removal and disposal of lime residual is hereby awarded to Pohlmat Inc. as the lowest, responsible bidder and the City Manager is hereby authorized to execute a contract with said bidder pursuant to contract specifications.

SEC. 2: The agreement with Pohlmat Inc. has an option for 2010, which may be exercised pursuant to the bid conditions.

SEC. 3: The Finance Director is hereby authorized to draw her warrants from time to time on the appropriate account of the City treasury in payment according to contract terms, not exceeding a total of $100,000.

SEC. 4: This Resolution shall take effect and be in force from and after the earliest period allowed by law.

THOMAS D. HUDSON, MAYOR

PASSED: __________________________

ATTEST: REBECCA J. COOL
CLERK OF COMMISSION
MEMORANDUM

TO: Frederick E. Enderle, City Manager
FROM: Beverly M. Yount, Purchasing Analyst
       Ronald Klima, Water Dept. Superintendent
RE: Purchase order for removal of disposal of lime residual
DATE: January 20, 2009

This is to request commission authorization to purchase services for removal and disposal of lime residual from the lime lagoon at the Water plant from Pohlkat, Inc., Sidney, Ohio for our 2009 requirement. Authorization to take bids was granted by Resolution R-6-08. Bids were taken on January 16, 2009 with pricing firm for two years through December 31, 2010. Pohlkat Inc. was the lowest responsive bidder for this service.

The 2009 Budget for this is $100,000.00. The bid tabulation is attached for your review.

It is requested that this item be placed on the February 2, 2009 Piqua City Commission Meeting Agenda for approval.

Please let me know if you have any questions, concerns, or need further clarification.
9001 Lime Residuals Hauling & Disposal Services
Bid Opening 1/16/09 at 2:00 p.m.
Bid Tabulation

Price per ton | Renewal Option for 2010
---|---
1. Pohkat Inc.  
Sidney, OH 45365 | $ 28.40 | yes
2. Southside Environmental Group, LLC  
Schimley's Excavating  
Niles, OH 44446 | $ 32.76 | yes
3. Synagro Central, LLC  
Baltimore, MD 21224 | $ 33.41 | yes

Bidder's Not Responding:
Joseph Lime Company
Miller Land
Vantilburg Farms
Burch Hydro, Inc.
Journey Trucking Co.
Dedicated Transport
Mid Ohio Sludge
RESOLUTION NO. R-17-09

A RESOLUTION TO ALLOW ONLY THE CITY OF PIQUA TO AGGREGATE DEMAND RESPONSE OF RETAIL CUSTOMERS IN ACCORDANCE WITH FERC ORDER 719 AND TAKING OTHER ACTIONS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH

WHEREAS, the City of Piqua, Ohio (hereinafter “City”) owns and operates an electric utility system for the sale of electric power and associated energy for the benefit of its citizens and taxpayers; and


WHEREAS, Order 719, 18 C.F.R. § 35.28(g)(1)(iii) provides: “Each FERC approved independent system operator and regional transmission organization must permit a qualified aggregator of retail customers to bid demand response on behalf of retail customers directly into the FERC approved independent system operator’s or regional transmission organization’s organized markets, unless the laws and regulations of the relevant electric retail regulatory authority expressly do not permit a retail customer to participate.”

WHEREAS, Order No. 719, 18 C.F.R. § 35.28(g)(1)(i)(A) provides: “Every FERC approved independent system operator or regional transmission organization that operates organized markets based on competitive bidding for energy imbalance, spinning reserves, supplemental reserves, reactive power and voltage control, or regulation and frequency response ancillary services (or its functional equivalent in the FERC approved independent system operator’s or regional transmission organization’s tariff) must accept bids from demand response resources in these markets for that product on a basis comparable to any other resources, if the demand response resource meets the necessary technical requirements under the tariff, and submits a bid under the FERC approved independent system operator’s or regional transmission
organization’s bidding rules at or below the market-clearing price, unless not permitted by the laws or regulations of the relevant electric retail regulatory authority.”

WHEREAS, the Commission of the City of Piqua has determined that it could be harmful to a demand response program implemented by the City, the collective interests of the City’s electric utility system, and the City’s retail customers, to permit any entity other than the City to aggregate demand response on behalf of its retail customers.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Commission of the City of Piqua, Miami County, Ohio, the majority of all members elected thereto concurring, that:

SECTION 1. The Commission of the City of Piqua, as the retail electric regulatory authority for the City and its retail electric consumers, determines it to be desirable that the aggregation of demand response on behalf of its retail customers to be bid directly into the organized electric and ancillary services markets administered by the regional transmission organization that includes the City (or any successor independent system operator or regional transmission organization) be performed by the City or its authorized designee.

SECTION 2. The City or its authorized designee is the sole entity permitted to aggregate retail customers’ demand response and bid demand response on behalf of retail customers of the City directly into any FERC approved independent system operator’s or regional transmission organization’s organized electric markets.

SECTION 3. Retail customers on the City’s electric system desiring to bid their demand response into a FERC approved independent system operator’s or regional transmission organization’s organized electric markets may do so only by participating in the program established by the City or its authorized designee.

SECTION 4. The City or its authorized designee is the sole entity permitted to bid demand response on behalf of retail customers of the City directly into any FERC approved independent system operator’s or regional transmission organization’s organized markets for
energy imbalance, spinning reserves, supplemental reserves, reactive power and voltage control, 
or regulation and frequency response ancillary services (or its functional equivalent in the FERC 
approved independent system operator’s or regional transmission organization’s tariff).

SECTION 5. Retail customers of the City’s electric system desiring to bid their demand 
response into a FERC approved independent system operator’s or regional transmission 
organization’s organized markets for energy imbalance, spinning reserves, supplemental 
reserves, reactive power and voltage control, or regulation and frequency response ancillary 
services (or its functional equivalent in the FERC approved independent system operator’s or 
regional transmission organization’s tariff) may do so only by participating in the program 
established by the City or its authorized designee

SECTION 6. That the City Manager is authorized to adopt any necessary regulations to 
implement this Resolution.

SECTION 7. That this Resolution shall take effect and be in forced from the earliest 
period allowed by law.

_________________________________________________________________________

THOMAS D. HUDSON, MAYOR

PASSED: _____________________

ATTEST: _____________________
  REBECCA J. COOL
  CLERC OF COMMISSION
Date: January 21, 2009

To: Piqua City Energy Board Members

Copy Fred Enderle, City Manager
Stacy Wall, Law Director

Subject: FERC Order 719

In the Federal Government’s ongoing efforts to continue initiatives to deregulate the electric utility industry and to provide incentives for energy efficiency, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) recently issued Order No. 719. This order is applicable to all retail electric providers including Piqua. This FERC Order, however, includes an opt-out provision that can be exercised by Municipals that operate their own power systems, in the event it is determined the FERC Order would be detrimental to its customers. This Order has been reviewed by Amp-Ohio as well as the American Public Power Association (APPA). Both organizations have concluded that in most cases Municipal Power Systems and their customers would not benefit from this Order and in fact could likely experience increased cost as a result of the Order. Both organizations suggest that serious consideration should be given to exercising the opt-out provision. In addition, Sawvel and Associates has reviewed the Order on behalf of Piqua and also recommends that Piqua opt-out.

Background:
FERC Order 719 centers around an emerging Regional Transmission Organization (RTO) created market for demand response and facilitating ways for demand response to be bid into the power market. Demand response refers to the ability and willingness of a customer to decrease its energy usage in response to high market electric prices during times of electric shortages. The premise to the demand response market is that reduction of energy consumption during these periods will reduce the need to build new generation and therefore has value that can be determined in a market environment.

Demand response for purposes of this Order refers to one of the following:

- Load that a customer is willing to interrupt
- Generation owned by a customer that is behind the utility meter
- Energy efficiency initiatives

The FERC Order creates a new term “Aggregator of Retail Customers (ARC)”. An ARC is a third party market facilitator other than Piqua or the RTO who could combine demand response capability of any of our customers with that of other customers not necessary within the City and bid them into the RTO market. The aggregator would share in the profit as a result of his marketing activities.
Concerns about FERC Order No. 719

The City can offer its customers similar opportunities as any third party aggregator. By the City providing this function rather than a third party, any potential revenue would stay entirely in the community.

The City is currently working with Amp-Ohio, investigating the feasibility of offering energy efficiency programs to its customers in the near future. Allowing a third party to potentially “cherry pick” the most feasible opportunities in Piqua would impact the economics of a City program implemented on behalf of all customers.

The City has already committed to long term power supply contracts with Amp-Ohio. Participation in these projects was based on the premise of the City supplying all of our customers long term energy needs and associated services. Allowing a third party to market demand response for Piqua customers could impact the power supply profile required by our customers.

Allowing the introduction of a third party in the process of supplying energy and related services to our customers will add operational complexity and communication concerns associated with power scheduling and resource planning.

The City could be exposed to potential contract disputes between any third party aggregator and our customers.

Recommendation:

Based on the review of FERC order 719 by Sawvel and Associates, Amp-Ohio and APPA, it is my recommendation that the City exercise its option to disallow Demand Response Aggregators from aggregating demand response capabilities from any customer provided electric service, by the City of Piqua, without permission from the City of Piqua Municipal Power System.

___________________
William J. Sommer
Power System Director
January 21, 2009

Mr. William J. Sommer, Power Systems Director
Piqua Municipal Power System
123 Bridge Street
Piqua, OH 45356-2235

Dear Bill:

This letter is in response to your request for Sawvel and Associates, Inc. (Sawvel) to review the impact of Federal Energy Regulatory Commission FERC Order No. 719 on the City of Piqua, Ohio Power System (City). This letter briefly explains FERC Order No. 719 (Order 719) and recommends how the City should respond to it.

The purpose of Order 719 is to amend FERC regulations under The Federal Power Act to improve the operations of wholesale electric markets. The City is located in the Pennsylvania-New Jersey-Maryland Regional Transmission Organization, referred to as “PJM”. American Municipal Power – Ohio (AMP-Ohio) manages the City’s participation in PJM as part of AMP-Ohio’s power supply services to the City. PJM is one of the wholesale electric markets that the Federal Power Act and subsequently, Order 719 refer to.

One of the changes set forth in Order 719 is called Demand Response. Demand Response is conceptually, the ability of a customer to decrease its energy usage to respond to electricity prices during periods of electricity shortages. Furthermore, Order 179 enables power markets like PJM to permit Aggregators of Retail Customers (ARC) to aggregate retail customers, such as served by the City, for the purpose of responding to electricity shortages.
Sawvel understands that AMP-Ohio has recommended to the City that it take action to preclude an ARC from aggregating any of the City’s retail customers and to “opt out” of the Order 719 requirements. Sawvel concurs with AMP-Ohio’s concerns, and here are some of the issues the City should consider:

1. City does not allow “retail wheeling” in the City’s service territory. An aggregator would, in essence, bypass the City’s rights to serve within its own service territory.

2. The City has committed to investments in power supply resources that rely on revenues from the customers in the City’s service territory. If a retail customer participates in aggregation, these revenues could be at risk.

3. The City’s electric rates were designed to recover the costs incurred by all customers in its service territory and are the security for purchases of long-term purchase power agreements mentioned in (2) above.

Sawvel recommends that the City “Opt Out” of FERC Order 719 requirements consistent with AMP-Ohio’s suggested ordinance or resolution.

If you have any questions concerning this letter, please call me.

Sincerely,

Donald E. Gruenemeyer, P.E.
President
Sawvel and Associates, Inc.

DEG: bks
RESOLUTION NO. R-18-09

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE RENAMING
OF THE FORT PIQUA PLAZA TO THE "PIQUA
PLAZA"

WHEREAS, in 2008 the Hotel Dedication Ceremony Committee made
recommendation to Piqua City Commission for the renaming of the Hotel Fort Piqua;
and

WHEREAS, on August 18, 2008 Piqua City Commission renamed the Hotel
Fort Piqua to the Fort Piqua Plaza; and

WHEREAS, at the January 20, 2009 Regular City Commission meeting it was
recommended to rename the Fort Piqua Plaza; and

WHEREAS pursuant to the request of the Piqua City Commission asking for
legislation for such renaming, legislation is hereby presented to rename the Fort
Piqua Plaza the “Piqua Plaza.”

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Commission of the City of
Piqua, Miami County, Ohio, the majority of all members elected thereto concurring,
that:

SEC. 1: The Fort Piqua Plaza is hereby renamed the Piqua Plaza.

SEC. 2: This Resolution shall take effect and be in force from and after
the earliest period allowed by law.

THOMAS D. HUDSON, MAYOR

PASSED: __________________________

ATTEST: __________________________

REBECCA J. COOL
CLERK OF COMMISSION
MEMORANDUM

TO: Piqua City Commission

FROM: Frederick E. Enderle, City Manager

RE: Renaming of the Fort Piqua Plaza

On August 18, 2008, Piqua City Commission renamed the Fort Piqua Hotel the Fort Piqua Plaza. This recommendation to rename the Hotel was forwarded to Commission by the Hotel Dedication Ceremony Committee (attached hereto as Exhibit “A”).

On Monday, January 20, 2009 a citizen (Ruth Koon) addressed Piqua City Commission requesting that the Fort Piqua Plaza be renamed the Piqua Plaza.

Pursuant to your request at the previous Commission meeting, I have prepared legislation for your consideration to rename the Fort Piqua Plaza to the Piqua Plaza.

Please let me know if you should need any additional information.

Respectfully submitted,

Frederick E. Enderle
City Manager
RESOLUTION NO. R-93-08

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE RENAMING OF THE HOTEL FORT PIQUA TO THE "FORT PIQUA PLAZA"

WHEREAS, the Hotel Dedication Ceremony Committee met on August 7, 2008 to prepare a recommendation for renaming the Hotel Fort Piqua upon the re-opening of the new facility; and

WHEREAS, the committee established criteria for naming the building attached hereto as Exhibit "A"; and

WHEREAS, the Hotel Dedication Ceremony Committee reached a consensus and hereby recommends the name of "Fort Piqua Plaza; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Commission of the City of Piqua, Miami County, Ohio, the majority of all members elected thereto concurring, that:

SEC. 1: The former Hotel Fort Piqua is hereby renamed as the Fort Piqua Plaza.

SEC. 2: This Resolution shall take effect and be in force from and after the earliest period allowed by law.

THOMAS D. HUDSON, MAYOR

PASSED: August 18, 2008

ATTEST: REBECCA J. COOL
REBECCA J. COOL
CLERK OF COMMISSION
MEMORANDUM

TO: Frederick E. Enderle, City Manager
FROM: Tom Zechman, Public Works Director

RE: Recommendation from the Hotel Dedication Ceremony Committee to name the newly restored building the "Fort Piqua Plaza."

On Thursday, August 7 the Hotel Dedication Ceremony Committee met to prepare a recommendation for renaming the building upon its re-opening.

The committee first established criteria for naming the building, as follows:

1. The name should be based on marketing principles, including:
   a. It should be attractive to an external audience
   b. It should elicit curiosity
   c. The name alone should be an advertisement, in that it communicates the overall strategy of the building, so that every time somebody mentions the name, it is an advertisement

2. "Piqua" should be in the name
3. The name should be tied to the history of the building

The committee also included the following two criteria as secondary considerations:
4. Ease of pronunciation – it should roll off the tongue
5. The name should stand the test of time

Lorna Swisher had previously solicited public input, inviting the community to submit ideas through the Mainstreet Piqua Office. The public responded with 124 suggestions.
The list was discussed with regard to the established criteria. It was then short-listed to ten, as follows:

- Fort Piqua on the Green
- Fort Piqua Plaza
- Market Square Plaza
- Piqua Community Plaza
- Fort Piqua City Center
- Piqua City Center
- Fort Piqua City Center
- Piqua Plaza Center
- Fort Piqua Place
- Piqua Civic Center

After a lengthy discussion the group reached consensus, making the recommendation to name the building the **Fort Piqua Plaza**. It has the word “Piqua”; a “Plaza” seems more attractive and elicits more curiosity than a “center”; and it incorporates both historical names from the building’s past – “Plaza Hotel” and “Hotel Fort Piqua.”

The committee was informed that the diversity committee recommended that the building be named after US Representative William McCulloch. However, criteria #3 in effect precludes naming the building after any one individual. In deference to the diversity committee’s recommendation the committee suggested that the public space adjacent to which the building stands could be named after Congressman McCulloch. It might be the William M. McCulloch Green (or square, or boulevard, etc.) or some other appropriate name.

Please let me know if I can provide any additional information pertaining to this building name recommendation.

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature]

Thomas R. Zechman
Public Works Director
RESOLUTION NO. R-19-09

A RESOLUTION REQUESTING AUTHORIZATION
TO ISSUE A PURCHASE ORDER TO RAWDON MYERS, INC.
FOR; SCADA (Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition)
SYSTEM HARDWARE UPGRADE, PROGRAMMING AND
INTEGRATION AT THE WASTEWATER PLANT.

WHEREAS, the present operations of the City require upgrading the SCADA system at the Wastewater Treatment Plant; and

WHEREAS, Rawdon Myers, Inc. has been our SCADA system Integrators since installation during Wastewater plant upgrade in 1988; and

WHEREAS, the City desires installation of Hardware upgrades, along with Programming and Integration for this project.

WHEREAS, Rawdon Myers, Inc. is qualified and capable of providing said services efficiently and competently;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Commission of the City of Piqua, Miami County, Ohio, the majority of all members elected thereto concurring, that:

SEC. 1: A contract for said services is hereby authorized and approved and payment not exceeding $29,000.00 is hereby authorized and approved for said services;

SEC. 2: The Finance Director is hereby authorized to draw her warrants from time to time on the appropriate account of the City treasury in payment according to this Resolution;

SEC. 3: This Resolution shall take effect and be in force from and after the earliest period allowed by law.

THOMAS D. HUDSON, MAYOR

PASSED: _______________________

ATTEST: _______________________
REBECCA J. COOL
CLERK OF COMMISSION
MEMO

January 20, 2009

To: Fred Enderle- City Manager,

I am requesting legislation for a PO for Rawdon Myers Inc. to perform SCADA Systems Hardware Upgrades and Programming & Integration at the Wastewater Plant in the amount of $27,095.00. We are asking for a not to exceed amount of $29,000.00.

Rawdon Myers Inc. has been our systems integrator of our SCADA System since it’s installation in the late 80-early 90’s (the Aquatrol SCADA System). They installed our new SCADA software and current hardware in 2000 (RSView, Rockwell Software). We feel that RMI knows our system the best and with that knowledge will perform the best value for our dollar.

Other vendors were contacted for qualifications and we feel that RMI is the best vendor available to perform this work without jeopardizing the stability of our SCADA System.

RMI is the vendor we asked for to perform the work on the EQ Basin & Standby Power Generator integration to our current software.

This work was part of this year’s approved budget for the WWTP.

Please see attached quote.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Dave Davis
Wastewater Systems Supervisor
Jan. 20, 2009

Mr. Dave Davis  
City of Piqua WWTP

Re: SCADA Upgrade for Piqua OH WWTP

Dear Dave,

As per our conversation the following is a scope of work and pricing for the needed items to upgrade the Piqua WWTP.

After our meeting and discussions, we have determined that the following items are needed:
To upgrade your existing SCADA system:

1. Server with Window XP for ease of communication, additional data storage and faster response. (As we discussed, this item will be purchased by the City—so this IS NOT part of this quote)

2. Control Microsystems SCADA Pack 32 PLC with 16 DI, 12 DO, 8 AO And Ethernet Gateway (for MTU).

3. Modify existing Scada Pack PLC’s in the RTU’s, for Ethernet communications.
1 Wireless radio / point to point communication for one RTU

Lot Install latest version of AB RSView Software on your existing computers.

Lot HMI and PLC Programming and HMI integration

Note: The Plant already has the latest version of RSView Software

Total Project Price: $27,095.00

We look forward to working with you on this project. Please call if you have any questions.

Best Regards,

Mike Stark
Sales Engineer
RAWDON MYERS, INC.